

January 28, 2017 -

The report in question is available at:

<http://www.informedcynic.com/water-fire/Water-news-comment/012617-Emergency-NatSec50Projects-121416-1-Reduced.pdf>

There is a report floating around called the "Emergency & National Security Projects", in which APR is listed as one of 50 top national security projects. Other projects in the report are massive and the patronage of the government.

Does it sound reasonable the Federal Government would be interested in a relatively small and very isolated private project? Probably not, but it would be good to know how the APR ended up on the report.

What we know so far...

The story, originated with McClatchy DC, which is part of wider network of journalists who work in 14 states and 29 communities. Read the original story here

[Trump team compiles infrastructure priority list](#)

The Albuquerque Journal picked up the story and on investigation pulled it, saying .. "The original post on abqjournal.com was removed late Tuesday night after a Politico Pro report questioned the document's authenticity." ... The Journal called the White House: "It is not a White House policy document", White House spokeswoman Lindsay Walters said in an email ... responding to a Journal request for comment.

The Journal story is an important read to understand the sequence of events and latest information:

[WH disavows infrastructure priorities document](#)

McClatched followed up on the story with:

[List of 50 infrastructure projects used by Trump transition team came from consulting firm](#)

PoliticoPro, mentioned above, may have originated the story and document, then backed off. As to PoliticoPro's reliability as a source of real news note that US Dept of Education wanted to buy a subscription to Politco Pro but did not....price tag too high and seemed to be based on "whatever the traffic would bear.